Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5373 SB Title: Even-numbered year elections Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note fo Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I). Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact William Bridges	Phone: 360-786-7367	Date: 01/20/2025
Agency Preparation: Devon Dieckman	Phone: 3607044020	Date: 01/31/2025
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/31/2025
ØFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

199,739.00

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill provides cities, towns, or special purpose districts the option to hold their elections on even-numbered years.

Section 2 is amended to change RCW 29A.04.330 and 2015 C146 S2 to add the option of holding local elections in even number years adding subsection 6.

Section 3 is amended adding text allowing the holding of elections during even-numbered years.

Section 9 is amended to allow for the modification of term lengths for municipal judges to allow for compliance with RCW 29A.04.330

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

none

II. C - Expenditures

This is bill has an indeterminant cost as the costs associated with this bill would be dependent on the amount of Cities and Towns that opt into even-numbered elections.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

No or minimal fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts.

COUNTIES

This could pose potential costs to the local municipalities as judges salaries shall not be diminished during their terms of office. See Court of Appeals decision, Wise vs. City of Chelan. 133 Wash.App. 167.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

```
III. D - FTE Detail
```

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

199,739.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State) NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE